

A study memo on triangularisability of matrices

1 Triangularisability of matrices

Proposition 1.1. *Let*

- (S1) $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup [2, \infty)$
- (S2) $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{C}[X] \setminus 0$.
- (A1) f_1, \dots, f_m don't have common divisor.

then there are $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m h_i f_i = 1 \tag{1}$$

Case when $m = 2$. When $\sum_{i=1}^m \deg(f_i) = 0$, $\deg(f_1) = \deg(f_2) = 1$. In this case, the the claim in this Proposition holds. We assume the claim in this Proposition holds when $\sum_{i=1}^m \deg(f_i) < K$. We can assume $\deg(f_1) > 0$ There is $q, r \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $f_1 = qf_2 + r$ and $\deg(r) < \deg(f_1)$ By the assumption of our mathematical induction, there are $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $h_1 r + h_2 f_2 = 1$. Because $r = qf_2 - f_1$, $-h_1 f_1 + (q + h_2) f_2 = 1$. \square

Case when $m > 2$. We assume the claim in this Proposition holds when $m = K$. Let us set q is a maximum divisor of f_1, \dots, f_K and $g_i := \frac{f_i}{q_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, K$). Clearly, g_1, \dots, g_m don't have common divisor and f_{K+1} and q don't have common divisor. By the assumption of mathematical induction, there are $h_1, \dots, h_K, h_{K+1}, s \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^K h_i g_i = 1 \tag{2}$$

and

$$sq + h_{K+1} f_{K+1} = 1 \tag{3}$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^K h_i f_i = q$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^K s h_i f_i + h_{K+1} f_{K+1} = 1 \tag{4}$$

\square

Proposition 1.2. *Let*

- (S1) $A \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$

arbitrary $x_0 \in W_{i,k} \cap W_{j,l}$. We set $s : \mathbb{C}^n \ni x \mapsto [x] \in \mathbb{C}^n/W_{1,1}$. Because $AW_{1,1} \subset W_{1,1}$, $\tilde{A} : \mathbb{C}^n/W_{1,1} \ni [x] \mapsto [Ax] \in \mathbb{C}^n/W_{1,1}$ is well-defined and linear. We set $\tilde{W}_{i,k} := \tilde{A}s(W_{i,k})$ and $\tilde{W}_{i,l} := \tilde{A}s(W_{i,l})$. We can assume $k > 1$. Clearly $\tilde{W}_{i,k} \subset \{[x] \in \tilde{W}_{i,k} | (\tilde{A} - \alpha_i)^{k-1}[x] = 0\}$. So by the assumption of mathematical induction, $\tilde{W}_{i,k} \cap \tilde{W}_{j,l} = \{0\}$. This implies that $W_{i,k} \cap W_{j,l} \subset W_{i,1}$. Similarly, $W_{i,k} \cap W_{j,l} \subset W_{j,1}$. So $W_{i,k} \cap W_{j,l} \subset W_{i,1} \cap W_{j,1} = \{0\}$. \square

STEP4. $\Sigma_{i=1}^K W_i = \oplus_{i=1}^K W_i$. By STEP3, $\Sigma_{i=1}^2 W_i = \oplus_{i=1}^2 W_i$. We assume if $K \leq K_0$ then $\Sigma_{i=1}^K W_i = \oplus_{i=1}^K W_i$. We will show if $K = K_0 + 1$ then $\Sigma_{i=1}^K W_i = \oplus_{i=1}^K W_i$. By the assumption of mathematical induction,

$$\Sigma_{i=1}^{K_0} W_i/W_{K_0+1} = \oplus_{i=1}^{K_0} W_i/W_{K_0+1} \quad (11)$$

Let us fix arbitrary $w_i \in W_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, K_0 + 1$) such that $\Sigma_{i=1}^{K_0+1} w_i = 0$. By (11), $w_i \in W_i \cap W_{K_0+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, K_0$). By STEP3, $w_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, K_0$). So $w_K = 0$. \square

STEP5. Basis of W_i . Let us fix i . We pick up $w_{1,1}, w_{1,2}, \dots, w_{1,n_1}$ which is a basis of the eigenspace $W_{i,1}$ corresponding to α_i . We set $s_1 : W_i \ni w \mapsto [w] \in W_i/W_{i,1}$. Because $AW_{i,1} \subset W_{i,1}$, if we set $A_1 : W_i/W_{i,1} \ni [w] \mapsto [Aw] \in W_i/W_{i,1}$ then A_1 is well-defined and linear. We denote V_1 by the eigenspace of A_1 corresponding to α_i . Clearly $s_1^{-1}(V_1) = W_{i,2}$. So there are $w_{1,n_1+1}, \dots, w_{1,n_2}$ such that $s_1(w_{1,n_1+1}), \dots, s_1(w_{1,n_2})$ is a basis of V_1 . Clearly $w_{1,1}, w_{1,2}, \dots, w_{1,n_2}$ is a basis of $W_{i,2}$. $Aw_i - \alpha_i w_i \in W_{i,1}$.

Similarly, we can take $w_{i,1}, \dots, w_{i,n_1}, \dots, w_{i,n_2}, \dots, w_{i,n_{m_i}}$ such that $w_{i,1}, \dots, w_{i,n_1}, \dots, w_{i,n_2}, \dots, w_{i,m_i}$ is a basis of W_i and $Aw_i - \alpha_i w_i \in W_{i,k}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, K-1, n_k < i \leq n_{k+1}$). So the representation matrix of $A|W_i$ is an upper triangular matrix if $w_{i,1}, \dots, w_{i,n_1}, \dots, w_{i,n_2}, \dots, w_{i,m_i}$ is a basis of W_i . \square

STEP6. Showing (i). By STEP4, $\{w_{i,j}\}_{\{i=1, \dots, K, j=1, 2, \dots, \dim(W_i)\}}$ is a basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Clearly the representation matrix of A is an upper triangular matrix if $\{w_{i,j}\}_{\{i=1, \dots, K, j=1, 2, \dots, \dim(W_i)\}}$ is a basis of \mathbb{C}^n . \square

STEP6. Showing (ii). (i) implies (ii). \square

References

- [1] Ichiro Satake, LINEAR ALGEBRA, ISBN-0 8247-1596-9.